

# Topics in Modern Economics

Final exam – May 2nd, 2016

No document authorized

## Part 1 : Problem – Baron-Myerson

A regulator overlooks a non competitive industry. The demand function for the commodity is denoted  $D(p) = 100 - 2p > 0$ . The cost function to produce the commodity is  $C(c, q, K) = cq + K$  with  $K > 0$  and  $c < 50$ . The fixed cost level  $K$  is common knowledge. The gross surplus of consumer is denoted  $S(p) = \int_p^{+\infty} D(x)dx + pD(p)$ . It is equal to  $S(q) = 2500 - p^2$ .

- 1 – The regulator does not observe  $c$  but she has a prior on the distribution of  $c$ . We assume that  $c$  is drawn from  $\{\underline{c}, \bar{c}\}$  according to the probability  $Prob(c = \underline{c}) = \nu$  (and  $Prob(c = \bar{c}) = 1 - \nu$ ) with  $\underline{c} < \bar{c} < 50$ . By virtue of the revelation principle the regulator restricts herself to direct truthful mechanisms. Characterize this contracts (i.e. the constraints that asymmetric information impose on the regulator).
- 2 – Write the optimization problem of the regulator under asymmetric information when  $\lambda > 0$ .
- 3 – Solve the regulation problem under asymmetric information neglecting second order incentive compatibility constraint.

## Part 2 : Problem – Monopoly regulation & shutdown of the firm

We place ourselves in the context of a regulated firm which has a cost  $C = \beta - e$  of realizing a project with social surplus  $S$ . The regulator only observes total cost  $C$ , and has an objective function  $W = S - (1 + \lambda)(t + C) + U$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is the shadow cost of public funds,  $U$  the firm's rent above its reservation utility of zero, and  $t$  the net transfer from the state to the firm. It is assumed that the firm's rent is  $U = t - \psi(e)$ , with  $\psi$  a function measuring the cost of effort such that :  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi' > 0$  and  $\psi'' > 0$ . Only the firm knows its type  $\beta$ , which can take two values :  $\beta = \underline{\beta}$  with probability  $\nu$  and  $\beta = \bar{\beta}$  with probability  $(1 - \nu)$ . We assume  $\underline{\beta} < \bar{\beta}$ .

- 1 – Using the regulator's objective function, argue why the regulator always dislikes leaving a rent to the firm.

**2** – Characterize the optimal level of effort  $e^*$  and the rent of the firm  $U^*$  if the regulator had full information about the firm's type.

You are recalled the following results<sup>1</sup> derived from the regulator's maximization program when looking for the optimal contracts  $(\underline{t}, \underline{C})$  and  $(\bar{t}, \bar{C})$  meant to be picked, respectively, by types  $\underline{\beta}$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  :

$$\underline{e} = e^* \quad (1)$$

$$\psi'(\bar{e}) = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \Phi'(\bar{e}) \quad (2)$$

where  $\Phi(e) = \psi(e) - \psi(e - (\bar{\beta} - \underline{\beta}))$

**3** – Explain briefly why  $\underline{U} = \Phi(\bar{e})$  in this setting.

**4** – Show why  $\underline{e} < \bar{e}$ . Explain intuitively why the regulator did not pick  $e^*$  for the  $\bar{\beta}$  type.

In what follows, we assume that the regulator has the possibility to shut down the regulated firm if the realized cost is too high.

**5** – If the regulator shuts down the inefficient firm ( $\bar{\beta}$ ), which contract does it offer to the efficient one ( $\underline{\beta}$ ) ? Write the expected welfare of the regulator in that case.

**6** – What is the expected welfare of the regulator if he decides to let both types of firms produce ?

**7** – Show that the regulator decides to let both firms produce if and only if :

$$S \geq S_0 = (1 + \lambda)(\bar{\beta} - \bar{e} + \psi(\bar{e})) + \frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \lambda \Phi(\bar{e}) \quad (3)$$

Give an intuition for this result.

**8** – For this question, you are free to admit that  $\bar{e}$  increases when  $\nu$  increases. Show that there exists a threshold  $\nu_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $\nu > \nu_0$ , the regulator decides to shut down inefficient firms.<sup>2</sup> Explain why this is the case.

---

<sup>1</sup>Please note that you are not asked to show these results.

<sup>2</sup>You are not asked to compute  $\nu_0$ .